100.2462 Dempski 1 RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER PATENT
DEC 2 2 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Dempski

Serial No.:

09/497,006

Filed:

February 2, 2000

For:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR COLLECTING DATA ON THE INTERNET

Group:

2141

Examiner:

Kang, Paul H.

Durham, North Carolina December 22, 2004

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Sirs:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax. No. 703-872-9306 on the date set forth below

1. Comments on Statement for Reasons for Allowance (2 pages)

Marianna Tortorelli

Printed name of person signing

Navanne Tortreel.

Signature

Date: December 22, 2004

2/ 3

100.2462

Dempski 1

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Dempski et al.

RECEIVED

Serial No.:

09/497,006

CENTRAL FAX CENTER
DEC 2 2 2004

Filed:

February 2, 2000

For:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR COLLECTING DATA ON THE INTERNET

Group:

2141

Examiner:

Kang, Paul H.

Durham, North Carolina December 22, 2004

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement for Reasons for Allowance

Sir:

The following comments are made with respect to the Reasons for Allowance mailed December 16, 2004, in the above case. As stated by the MPEP in Section 1302.14, "[w]here specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reason for allowance...do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow, upon the claims." Further, the "statement is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims are allowed and should not be written to specifically or implicitly state that all the reasons for allowance are set forth."

Under 35 U.S.C. §103, it is mandated that claims be considered as a whole. When considered as a whole, it will be seen that the Examiner has appropriately focused upon particular reasons for allowance and not all the reasons for allowance. While in light of Section 1302.14, applicant does not believe that the Examiner's statement can or should be misconstrued as being intended to identify the sole reasons for allowance, applicant does not acquiesce in such a conclusion as there are multiple reasons for allowance of all of the claims. The reasons addressed are clearly exemplary and not exhaustive.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter H. Priest

Reg. No. 30,210

Priest & Goldstein, PLLC

5015 Southpark Drive, Suite 230

Durham, NC 27713-7736

(919) 806-1600